## **ANYTHING To SAVE Pre-Tribulationalism!**

2023© Copyright by Jon Swanson; Permission to copy & share this good free stuff article with others is granted.

The promise of a Pre-Tribulation Rapture is a false-hope lie. Yet, some advocates of the deception continue proclaiming it. Since the evidence against this dangerous view has been out for quite some time now, we wonder if advocates of the view have moved from giving misinformation to giving disinformation. Here's the main difference between the two:

Misinformation Appeals that are false, though the person making them doesn't know they are (false). Disinformation Appeals that use false claims, ones that are known to be false; lies

Yet, some defenders of the position continue holding and proclaiming the view. Discern the falsehoods, please ...

Inferences

The Pre-Tribulation Rapture teaching is not explicitly stated in Scripture; The imminent, *at-any-moment* (return of Jesus Christ) view is not found in Scripture but is a commonly heralded inference.

When advocates of a position present inferences and interpretations as explicit Bible Truth, they are teaching falsely – or at the very least, are potentially teaching falsely. When they know they're doing so, their actions move from misinformation to disinformation – lies.

Changes / Retractions made

The past four decades have seen significant changes to the position. One key change we'll highlight here is the move from a strict imminent position to a soft imminent position. The general editor (Terry James) of the 2020 book titled *Law-less* states, "Seventeen writers ... expertly probe the issue and events of today – issues and events that almost certainly point to the imminence of Christ's return." (back cover) Signs (highlighted in the book) do not precede the rapture according to Pre-Tribulationalism. Terry James' quote above is an example of a soft imminent approach given present and approaching signs are justification that the rapture event is ("almost certainly") imminent. Tied to this softer imminent approach is the *new* allowance of birth pains taking place today – before the Pre-Trib. rapture event. Yet, when this appeal is more thoroughly considered, this clearly becomes a <u>major difficulty</u> for a position committed to the imminent return of Christ for His church.

When changes are made (e.g., no longer using "Pre-Tribulation Rapture" in the doctrinal statement) yet retractions are avoided (e.g., failure to say, "I was wrong") while at the same time continuing to support the view through, say, use of other supporting appeals (e.g., continuing to use the unbiblical definition of imminence as they persist in teaching the position), there's an *honesty* problem in all this.

Failed Predictions

Harold Camping ... the <u>Berisheet Passover Prophecy</u> ... [and] is the next failed prediction (by Pre-Tribulation Rapture advocates) going to be the position's **fundamental** general assumption: The Rapture takes place before the tribulation? Some believe, due to explicit Bible data (e.g., Mt. 24:9-14), this failure has <u>already manifested</u> given all the cultural realities today.

When failed interpretations or predictions persist, their <u>authority voice</u> is discernable: It's actually not Bible evidence but other sources or views that are being

used as *grounds for belief*. Not good ... not good at all. When this occurs, do we recall our Lord actually spoke against putting tradition and other manbased authority voices over the Word of God? (see Mt. 15)

## Incorrect Interpretations

"Apostasy" = Rapture (II Thes. 2) ... Mt. 24 is outlining things taking place **after** the [Pre-Trib] rapture (or at least most of Mt. 24 takes place after the rapture – this is a more recent revision of the Pre-Trib. position). No explicitly biblical evidences are offered to support these interpretations ... The construct of Daniel's  $70^{th}$  Week as found on their charts **Daniel 9:27** ... Mt. 24 and Rev. 6 - 19 represent the Tribulation Period. Again, no Bible support actually teaches their false interpretations.

When inferred interpretations are used to support a position, are the advocates who are doing so *bordering on* **adding to Scripture** – something that is wrong to do? (the fig tree issue immediately below is also tied to "adding" to the Word of God)

## Scripture Twisting

The <u>fig tree</u> of Mt. 24:32-35 ... wrath of God = tribulation (<u>definitions matter</u>)

When, like the religious leaders of our Lord's day (see Mark 7), the Pre-Tribulationalists put their theology and traditions over God's Word, we should take note.

More recent Pre-Trib Rapture appeals which seek to salvage a dying tradition include: Before the Wrath DVD (2020) (Is the Galilean Wedding view really biblical, or is this yet another debatable hermeneutical issue on the part of Pre Trib. advocates?) Most recent Left Behind DVD (2023) (Our Concern)

After the Rapture BOOK (2022)

Has the Tribulation Begun? (The answer given up front in this 2023 BOOK is NO!) (The authors of these books have yet to respond to our 2023 letters of concern.)

When denials of reality are included in an end-time position, this should concern us. Our article ("Confessions"; linked above: "already manifested") also addresses this matter. Matthew 24:9-14 things are taking place **today**, including tribulation!

Back to a point above, one using an example of moving from misinformation to disinformation ...

Before I wrote Amir it may have been that when he made the appeal about the Tribulation Period being the Wrath of God, he did not know it was a wrong appeal. (example of misinformation giving) BUT, now that he has been shown his appeals (concerning the tribulation being the wrath of God) are incorrect, IF he continues in his teaching the Pre-Tribulation Rapture viewpoint, especially continuing to label the wrath of God as end-time tribulation, he would then be guilty of disinformation – lying.

Our warning has been sufficiently given through over 20 articles on this *end of days* deception, a lie leading to the ill-preparedness of millions. BEWARE! Rightly – that is, biblically – **prepare** ... rightly **watch** ... rightly **discern** by allowing God's Truth Foundation – His Word – to say what it actually says ... allow the Bible – not religious traditions of men (Mark 7) – to be your guide for faith and practice.

Please look over these many online publications by going to this web page: www.TruthFoundations.org/CB8C24.pdf

We address more general end-time topics on the following sites:

www.FinalTrumpet.online; www.TruthFoundations.org (blogs); www.EnduranceMinistries.online